
SUMMARY OF CABINET / CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 22 July 2019

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISION ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 2 August 2019**

26 July 2019

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities – Thursday 25 July 2019

Report 4 **Petition – Requesting a Review of the 10 Minute Observation period in Residents’ Parking Schemes**

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

1. Consider the content of the petition and note the concerns of the petitioners.
2. Approve that Parking Services continue to apply a 10 minute observation period when undertaking parking enforcement activities within the Knights Templar Residents’ parking scheme and other residents’ parking schemes throughout Coventry.
3. Approve that Traffic Management colleagues ~~investigate, develop and~~ consult with **the Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities** ~~residents and local ward councillors on a pilot scheme, similar to one~~ **operating in Solihull Borough Council, to control parking around Knights Templar Primary School** ~~schools~~ during peak times.

The above recommendations were approved as amended in bold.

Report 5 Petition – Improve the Footpath that Runs Adjacent to the Brookstray in Mount Nod, between Fletchamstead Highway and Alderminster Rd.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

1. Consider the content of the petition and note the concerns of the petitioners
2. Note that that budgetary reduction has increased the emphasis on the Parks Service to prioritise proposed works against competing demands and that annual demand for such works far exceeds the budget available.
3. Note that the cost of entirely resurfacing the footpath surface area would be beyond the Council's Parks Service budget.
4. Agree that the Parks Service will continue to inspect and undertake repairs to maintain the path in a safe and usable condition and where necessary, ensure the paths are levelled when repairs are carried out.
5. Agree that a prioritised programme of resurfacing will be undertaken on a phased basis over a number of years subject to available funding.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 6 Petition – War Memorial Park Ramp Renovation

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

1. Consider the content of the petition and note the concerns of the petitioners'
2. Note that inspections of the skateboarding have identified that the equipment is still within its practicable life.
3. Note that the skateboarding facility falls outside the catchment area of the nearest other similar facility

4. Agree that the skateboarding facility be retained and that features be replaced as and when they reach the end of their practicable life and be funded through a combination of external funding and existing budget provision.

The above recommendations were approved together with the following additional recommendation:

5. **That officers work with this group, and any other group associated with it, to help identify other sources of funding and, if gained, look to replace the existing skate park facility in advance of when it may otherwise be replaced.**

Report 7

Annual Compliance Report - Regulatory & Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA) 2018

Recommendation(s):

1. Consider any comments and recommendations provided by the Audit & Procurement Committee.
2. Approve the report as a formal record of the Council's use and compliance with RIPA.

The above recommendations were approved.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.